

March 5, 1973

I have been hoping to take advantage of the anniversary ~~in~~ interest to get some new people turned on - that is, I was thinking of turning one of my important (maybe) leads over to some big-name investigative reporter who could help me with the investigation (for which I have neither resources nor experience). Even though I think that there are several leads which I know of which ~~it~~ might lead to new information which we all would consider very important, I'm not sure they would be of general interest enough to be publishable (and I don't mean in Computers and Automation). Examples: the Alexis Lavisson story; ~~Robert Lavisson~~ RFL's relation to the WC and the Report (including the Pearson story about his plot against Castro which may have backfired). Anyhow I haven't done anything with these ~~unpublishable~~ possibilities yet.

I expect that some national media will be doing stories; if you hear from them I would like to know. If anyone else gets involved in this kind of project, I would like to get my two cents worth in.

Another, somewhat flashier, approach would be to prepare an ~~exam~~ answer to the ~~sharper~~ charge (made most recently by Warren) that we haven't come up ~~with~~ with any new evidence. The complication is that most of the new stuff that the WC never even heard of is not very solid. But, as someone said long ago, what's wrong with the old evidence? We could present some ~~exam~~ goodies which the WC never saw as ~~important~~ important (e.g., 'Davison' in LHO's notebook, the 'Action for Men' ad, and lots more) and go into the suits under the F of I act (which directly concern 'new' evidence, and would give the presentation some current-events ~~interest~~ tag). Again, this is easy to do; let me know your responses. I hope ~~someone~~ someone else could manage ~~this~~ this; I would be glad to help.

A. A. Koch